(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2004.

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr. P.A. Kamat, Government Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. A.J. Kenkre, Advocate for the Respondents.

Coram : S.A. BOBDE, J.

Date : 25th June 2004.

P.C.: The Collector has applied for condonation of delay of 30 days in filing the Second Appeal. Apparently the delay is caused due to the fact that initially he was advised to file a revision or a writ petition. Thereafter it was decided that a second appeal needs to be filed. Hence, in the meanwhile a period of 90 days had expired.

2. The learned counsel for the non-applicant states that the Second Appeal is not tenable and neither can the Collector file the instant Second Appeal. These are objections

which can be considered at the time of admission.

3. I am satisfied that the delay is bonafide and there is sufficient cause shown for the said delay. Miscellaneous Civil Application for condonation of delay is, therefore, allowed.

S.A. BOBDE, J.

ed's.